

CHanging
Prior**IT**ies

3rd VIBRARCH

NOVEMBER 9-11, 2022

A solid purple square graphic is positioned in the upper center of the page.

CHanging PrioRiTies

3rd VIBRARCH

NOVEMBER 9-11, 2022



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÀCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA



ESCOLA TÈCNICA
SUPERIOR
D'ARQUITECTURA

Publisher:

Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València, 2022
<http://www.lalibreria.upv.es>
Ref.: 6146_01_01_01

ISBN 978-84-1396-026-5

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4995/VIBRArch2022.2022.16860>

November, 2022

All rights reserved:

- © of the images, their authors
- © of the drawings, their authors
- © of the texts, their authors
- © of this edition

Editorial Committee:

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto
Ernesto Fenollosa Forner
Ángeles Mas Tomás
Lluís Bosch Roig
Carmen Ferrer Ribera
Susana Iñarra Abad
Alicia Llorca Ponce
María Teresa Palomares Figueres
Ana Portalés Mañanós
Juan Serra Lluch



3rd VIBRArch: Changing priorities. / Editorial
Universitat Politècnica de València

Coordination and design:

Júlia Martínez Villaronga
Mariví Monfort Marí
Maria Piqueras Blasco

The reuse of the contents is allowed through the copying, distribution, exhibition and representation of the work, as well as the generation of derivative works as long as the authorship is acknowledged and it is cited with complete bibliographic information. Commercial use is not permitted and derivative works must be distributed under the same license as the original work.

Conference Chair:

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto

Organizing Committee:

Ernesto Fenollosa Forner

Ángeles Mas Tomás

Lluís Bosch Roig

Carmen Ferrer Ribera

Susana Iñarra Abad

Alicia Llorca Ponce

María Teresa Palomares Figueres

Ana Portalés Mañanós

Juan Serra Lluch

Design and Logistics:

Júlia Martínez Villaronga

Mariví Monfort Mari

Maria Piqueras Blasco

The **Scientific Committee** is composed by the hereunder academics:

Fernando Agrasar Quiroga
Universidade da Coruña

Naime Esra Akin
Beykent University

Ajla Aksamija
University of Massachusetts Amherst

Ana Almerich Chuliá
Universitat Politècnica de València

Miguel Alonso del Val
Universidad de Navarra

Adolfo Alonso Durá
Universitat Politècnica de València

Eva Álvarez Isidro
Universitat Politècnica de València

Cecilie Andersson
Bergen School of Architecture

Craig K. Anz
Southern Illinois University

César Aquino Insfrán
Universidad Autónoma de Encarnación

Eugenio Arbizzani
Sapienza Università di Roma

Maziar Asefi
Ryerson University

Izaskun Aseguinolaza Braga
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Oya Atalay Franck
Zurich University of Applied Sciences and Arts

Pnina Avidar
Fontys Hogescholen Tilburg

Marilda Azulay Tapiero
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ángela Baldellou Plaza
Observatorio del CSCAE

Berta Bardí i Milà
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Michela Barosio
Politecnico di Torino

José Manuel Barrera Puigdollers
Universitat Politècnica de València

Luisa Basset Salom
Universitat Politècnica de València

Genevieve Baudoin
Kansas State University

Iñaki Begiristain Mitxelena
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Liliana O. Beltrán
Texas A&M University

Henriette Bier
Technische Universiteit Delft

Catherine Blain
École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de Paris-Belleville

Silvia Blanco Agüeira
Universidad San Jorge

Manuel Blanco Lage
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

María del Carmen Blasco Sánchez
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ana Bonet Miró
The University of Edinburgh

Victoria E. Bonet Solves
Universitat Politècnica de València

Lluís Bosch Roig
Universitat Politècnica de València

Dag Boutsen
KU Leuven

Ewan Branda
Woodbury University

Danelle Briscoe
The University of Texas at Austin

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto
Universitat Politècnica de València

Roberto Vicente Cañete Ferreira
Universidad Autónoma de Encarnación

Miguel Ángel Carrión Carmona
Universitat Politècnica de València

María Emilia Casar Furió
Universitat Politècnica de València

Pepa Cassinello
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Nuria Castilla Cabanes
Universitat Politècnica de València

Roberto Cavallo
Technische Universiteit Delft

M. Rosa Cervera Sardá
Universidad de Alcalá

Helena Coch Roura
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Ana Cocho Bermejo
Anglia Ruskin University

Beatriz Colomina
Princeton University

Edoarda Corradi Dell'Acqua
Illinois Institute of Technology

Nur Çaglar
TOBB University of Economics and
Technology at Ankara

Ana-Maria Dabija
Universitatea de Arhitectura si Urbanism
"Ion Mincu"

Pau de Solà-Morales Serra
Universitat Rovira i Virgili

Lynne Marie Dearborn
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Adalberto Del Bo
Politecnico di Milano

Miguel Ángel Díaz Camacho
Universidad Camilo José Cela

Carmen Díez Medina
Universidad de Zaragoza

Debora Domingo Calabuig
Universitat Politècnica de València

Neslihan Dostoğlu
İstanbul Kültür University

Laurent Duport
Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de
Montpellier

Inmaculada Esteban Maluenda
Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Maria Faraone
Oxford Brookes University

Emilio Faroldi
Politecnico di Milano

Ernesto Fenollosa Forner
Universitat Politècnica de València

Laura Fernández Durán
Universidad CEU Cardenal Herrera

Carmen Ferrer Ribera
Universitat Politècnica de València

Teresa Fonseca
Universidade do Porto

José María Fran Bretones
Universitat Politècnica de València

Giovanna Franco
Università degli studi di Genova

Jordi Franquesa Sánchez
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Mercedes Galiana Agulló
Universidad Católica de Murcia

Inés García Clariana
Universidad Europea de Valencia

Ángela García Codoñer
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ángela García de Paredes
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Daniel García-Escudero
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Carolina B. García-Estévez
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Lidia García Soriano
Universitat Politècnica de València

Queralt Garriga Gimeno
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Mariona Genís Vinyals
BAU, Centre Universitari de Disseny de Barcelona

Saul Golden
Ulster University

Carlos Gómez Alfonso
Universitat Politècnica de València

Enkarni Gómez Genua
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

M. Esther Gómez-Martín
Universitat Politècnica de València

Arianna Guardiola Villora
Universitat Politècnica de València

Ignacio Guillén Guillamón
Universitat Politècnica de València

Elsa Gutiérrez Labory
Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Mary Guzowski
University of Minnesota

Bruce Haglund
University of Idaho

Harriet Harriss
Pratt Institute

Deirdre L.C. Hennebury
University of Michigan

José Luis Higón Calvet
Universitat Politècnica de València

Roberta Ingaramo
Politecnico di Torino

Susana Iñarra Abad
Universitat Politècnica de València

Yulia Iwashko
Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture

Chris Jarrett
The University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Eva Jiménez Gómez
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Ignacio Juan Ferruses
Universidad CEU Cardenal Herrera

Francisco Juan Vidal
Universitat Politècnica de València

Vincenzina La Spina
Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena

Carlos Lameiro
Universidade de Lisboa

Riva Lava
National Technical University Athens

Plácido Lizancos Mora
Universidade da Coruña

Laura Lizondo Sevilla
Universitat Politècnica de València

Blanca Lleó Fernández
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Jaime Llinares Millán
Universitat Politècnica de València

Maria Carmen Llinares Millán
Universitat Politècnica de València

Verónica Llopis Pulido
Universitat Politècnica de València

Alicia Llorca Ponce
Universitat Politècnica de València

Angela Lombardi
The University of Texas at San Antonio

Emma López Bahut
Universidade da Coruña

Concha López González
Universitat Politècnica de València

Antonio Maciá Mateu
Universitat d'Alacant

Polyxeni Mantzou
Democritus University of Thrace

José María Manzano Jurado
Universidad de Granada

Frederick Marks
Salk Institute for Biological Studies

Kat Martindale
Architecture + Urbanism Research Office

Raquel Martínez Gutiérrez
Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Antonio Martinez-Molina
University of Texas at San Antonio

Roser Martínez Ramos e Iruela
Universidad de Granada

Ángeles Mas Tomás
Universitat Politècnica de València

Marta Masdéu Bernat
Universitat de Girona

Nuria Matarredona Desantes
Conselleria d'Habitatge i Arquitectura
Bioclimàtica

Thomas McQuillan
Arkitektur- og designhøgskolen i Oslo

Clara Mejía Vallejo
Universitat Politècnica de València

Camilla Mileto
Universitat Politècnica de València

Alex Mitxelena Etxeberria
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea

Francisco Javier Montero Fernández
Universidad de Sevilla

Jésica Moreno Puchalt
Universitat Politècnica de València

Joan Moreno Sanz
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Michel Mounayar
Ball State University

Stefano Musso
Università degli studi di Genova

Zaida Muxi
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Carlos Naya Villaverde
Universidad de Navarra

Lucyna Nyka
Gdańsk University of Technology

Dolores Otero Chans
Universidade da Coruña

María Teresa Palomares Figueres
Universitat Politècnica de València

Cristina Pardo García
Universitat de València

Carlos José Parra Costa
Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena

Ulrike Passe
Iowa State University

Luz Paz Agras
Universidade da Coruña

João Pedro Xavier
Universidade do Porto

Javier Pérez Igualada
Universitat Politècnica de València

Marta Pérez Rodríguez
Universitat Politècnica de València

Alfred Peris Manguillot
Universitat Politècnica de València

Valerio Perna
Universiteti Polis

Marios C. Phocas
University of Cyprus

Ramón Picó Valmiñana
Universidad de Sevilla

Àngel Pitarch Roig
Universitat Jaume I

Philip Plowright
Lawrence Technological University

Ute Poerschke
The Pennsylvania State University

Çiğdem Polatoğlu
Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi

Ana Portalés Mañanós
Universitat Politècnica de València

Javier Poyatos Sebastián
Universitat Politècnica de València

Sonia Puente Landazuri
Comunica:Ciudad

Núria Ramon Marqués
Universitat de Lleida

Anna Ramos Sanz
Fundació Mies van der Rohe

Hazem Rashed-Ali
The University of Texas at San Antonio

Traci Rose Rider
North Carolina State University

Michelle Rinehart
Georgia Institute of Technology

Carmen Ripollés
Portland State University

Julia W Robinson
University of Minnesota

David Rockwood
University of Hawaii at Manoa

Mia Roth-Čerina
Sveučilište u Zagrebu

Alberto Rubio Garrido
Institut Valencià de l'Edificació

Alberto Ruiz Colmenar
Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Felipe Samarán Saló
Universidad Francisco de Vitoria

Inés Sánchez de Madariaga
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

João Rafael Santos
Universidade de Lisboa

Marja Sarvimäki
Bond University

Thomas Schroepfer
Singapore University of Technology and Design

Veronika Schröpfer
Architects' Council of Europe

Marina Sender Contell
Universitat Politècnica de València

Carla Sentieri Omarrementeria
Universitat Politècnica de València

Juan Serra Lluch
Universitat Politècnica de València

Begoña Serrano Lanzarote
Universitat Politècnica de València

Adil Sharag-Eldin
Kent State University

Lamila Simisic Pasic
International University of Sarajevo

Madlen Simon
University of Maryland

Brian Robert Sinclair
University of Calgary

Félix Solaguren-Beascoa de Corral
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Juan María Songel González
Universitat Politècnica de València

Cristina Soriano Cuesta
Universidad de Sevilla

Asenet Sosa Espinosa
Universitat Politècnica de València

Laura Soto Francés
Conselleria d'Habitatge i Arquitectura
Bioclimàtica

Constantin Spiridonidis
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Alexandra Staub
The Pennsylvania State University

Sally Stewart
Mackintosh School of Architecture, Glasgow
School of Art

Judit Taberna Torres
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Rafael Temes Córdovez
Universitat Politècnica de València

Aron Temkin
Norwich University

Lorenzo Tomás Gabarrón
Universidad Católica de Murcia

Ana Torres Barchino
Universitat Politècnica de València

Vasilía Trova
University of Thessaly

Macarena Trujillo Guillén
Universitat Politècnica de València

Marci Uihlein
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Judith Urbano
Universitat Internacional de Catalunya

Ilaria Valente
Politecnico di Milano

Koenraad Van Cleempoel
Universiteit Hasselt

José Antonio Vázquez Rodríguez
Universidade da Coruña

Fernando Vegas López-Manzanares
Universitat Politècnica de València

José Vercher Sanchis
Universitat Politècnica de València

Maria Pilar Vettori
Politecnico di Milano

Marisol Vidal
Graz University of Technology

María José Viñals Blasco
Universitat Politècnica de València

Maria Voyatzaki
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Kate Wingert-Playdon
Temple University

Tadeja Zupančič
Univerza v Ljubljani

021_0. INTRODUCTION

022_Changing priorities
Ivan Cabrera i Fausto

028_BLOCK 1: REPRESENTATION AND QUANTIFYING

030_Paper #1.01: How does household income affect access to housing?
Begoña Serrano Lanzarote, Carmen Subiron Rodrigo

044_Paper #1.02: Visualization Research: Scoping review on data visualization courses
Fabio Capra-Ribeiro

057_BLOCK 2: CITY, TERRITORY AND LANDSCAPE

058_Paper #2.01: Gordon Cullen in Valencia: four urban landscapes
Javier Pérez Igualada

066_Paper #2.02: Measuring gentrification in the Russafa neighborhood
Alicia Llorca Ponce, Gregorio Rius-Sorolla

076_Paper #2.03: Growing fast, innovating slowly. Informal Ahmedabad between past and future
Alisia Tognon, Mariana Paisana Felix

098_Paper #2.04: City, unexpressed spaces, sport. Resilience in the design of new public spaces
Marta Cognigni

108_Paper #2.05: Architecture of essences: the revealing abstraction of the tobacco landscape
Juan Francisco García Nofuentes, Roser Martínez-Ramos e Iruela

118_Paper #2.06: Social–psychological responses to trends of sustainable architecture
Aurelija Daugelaite

128_Paper #2.07: Urban stages: when cities and architecture become a theatre
Aaron Jara Calabuig

139_BLOCK 3: INNOVATIVE PRACTICES AND PROJECTS

140_Paper #3.01: Agile architecture: cross-cultural critical considerations of mutability in design
Brian Robert Sinclair

152_Paper #3.02: Towards the real estate project. The architect in the new paradigm of real estate investment
David Pérez Royo, Alicia Llorca Ponce, Fernando García Martínez

162_Paper #3.03: Space, function and symbol. Architectural furniture in domestic spaces
María José Ochoa Caballero

174_Paper #3.04: Methodology for quantification of exhaled pollutant emissions in residential buildings
Robiel Eilyn Manzueta Felix, César Martín-Gómez, Amaia Zuazua-Ros, Juan Carlos Ramos González, Leonardo De Brito Andrade, Arturo H. Ariño

184_Paper #3.05: The use of social networks as a tool for architectural and urban design
Pascal Simoens

199_BLOCK 4: TECHNOLOGY AND MATERIALS

200_Paper #4.01: The potential of hook-and-loop fasteners in the building industry – towards a circular economy
Marisol Vidal

210_Paper #4.02: Active aluminum window-frame integrated prototype with a thermoelectric heat recovery system for ventilation and air conditioning
Pablo Santiago Arias-Salazar, Marina Vidaurre-Arbizu, José Antonio Sacristán-Fernández, César Martín-Gómez, José Ramón Couso-San Martín, Jorge Fernández-Heras, Amaia Zuazua-Ros

- 218_Paper #4.03: Indoor relative humidity: relevance for health, comfort, and choice of ventilation system
Maria Coral Albelda-Estellés Ness
- 230_Paper #4.04: Methodology for the characterization of building envelope: Virgen del Carmen Group at Valencia
Jorge Roa-Fernández, Carmen Galán-Marín, Carlos Rivera-Gómez, María Teresa Palomares-Figueres, Javier Sola-Carballo
- 240_Paper #4.05: Basalt FRP rods assessment as an alternative reinforcement for reinforced concrete
Jose Vercher, Tomàs Vidal, Javier Torres, Carlos Lerma, Júlia G. Borràs, Enrique Gil, Ángeles Mas
- 250_Paper #4.06: Design and simulation of an actively controlled building unit
Paisia Ioannidou, Odysseas Kontovourkis, Mario C. Phocas
- 260_Paper #4.07: The architectural structure in the face of climate-related catastrophe: a classificatory approach
Diego Sanz Almela, María Piqueras Blasco, Begoña Serrano Lanzarote, Ernesto Fenollosa Forner
- 270_Paper #4.08: Durability damage indicator in BIM environments
Víctor Fernández Mora, Víctor Yepes, Ignacio J. Navarro
- 283_BLOCK 5: THEORY, CRITICISM, NARRATIVE AND ETHICS**
- 284_Paper #5.01: The third way "After Modern Architecture"
Jose Manuel Barrera Puigdollers
- 298_Paper #5.02: Agile architecture: How do we design for time?
Salah Imam, Brian Sinclair
- 310_Paper #5.03: Architecture as a heteronomous discipline. Debate, theories, perspectives
Emilio Faroldi, Maria Pilar Vettori
- 322_Paper #5.04: CRITIC GOES HOME. Thought as a tool in architectural education
Fernando Agrasar, Luz Paz-Agras
- 330_Paper #5.05: Design, build, occupy, adapt: Critical considerations of architectural education in an ethos of upheaval
Brian Robert Sinclair, Raffaello Furlan, Asmaa Al-Mohannadi, Nooshin Esmaeili
- 344_Paper #5.06: Wisdom of Persian Architecture: Exploring the Design of the M.T.O. Sufi Centres in Search for the 'Spirit of Place'
Nooshin Esmaeili, Brian Robert Sinclair

360_Paper #5.07: Architecture and Music around the Alhambra. Reminiscences of a dreamlike world: La Puerta del Vino (Debussy)
José Luis Baró Zarzo, Jovita Cortijo Ruiz

370_Paper #5.08: Vegetation and the construction of space
Paolo De Marco

380_Paper #5.09: Shifting priorities as a decisive factor: ethics in architectural practice
Asenet Sosa Espinosa, Maria Emilia Casar Furió

391_BLOCK 6: HERITAGE, RESTORATION, CONSERVATION AND RENOVATION

392_Paper #6.01: Pivotal constructions of unseen events: Building the American dream
Irene Hwang

408_Paper #6.02: Translated anthologies: (Re)establishing adaptive reuse as a transdisciplinary cultural practice
Colm mac Aoidh, Koenraad Van Cleempoel

420_Paper #6.03: Changes of meaning and perspectives for the destinies of the built Heritage
Stefano Francesco Musso, Giovanna Franco

430_Paper #6.04: An Inquiry into the Genius Loci of Karachi's Bohri Bazaar Area in Pakistan
Syed Hamid Akbar, Tania Ali Soomro, Koenraad Van Cleempoel, Naveed Iqbal

440_Paper #6.05: Old methods for new solutions: Historical restoration of Santa Maria la Mayor church in Rubielos de Mora
Víctor Fernández Mora, Carlos Boigues

452_Paper #6.06: Researching and cataloging new heritage
Carmen Burguete Gil

462_Paper #6.07: Integration of rural architectural heritage: The case of the alquerías in northern Valencia
Daphné Gosselin Calvignac, José Luis Baró Zarzo, Laurent Debailleux

474_Paper #6.08: The heritage consideration of the Virgen del Carmen Group (Valencia): a historical reinterpretation
M.T. Palomares Figueres, Vincenzina La Spina, F. Iborra Bernad, F. Usó Martín

484_Paper #6.09: The heritage consideration of the Cheste Workers University: historical reinterpretation
Fernando Usó Martín, Carmen Jordá Such, M.T. Palomares Figueres, Federico Iborra Bernad, Carmel Gradolí Martínez, Pascual Herrero Vicent

498_Paper #6.10: Mosteirinho de São Francisco in Paudalho, Brazil: Building Typology Adaptation in Colonial Architecture

Renan Cornelio Vieira de Souza Rolim, Laura Gilabert-Sansalvador, María José Viñals

510_Paper #6.11: Sustainable design perspectives for heritages' reconstruction

Alessandro Raffa, Valerio Tolve

522_Paper #6.12: Technical, constructive and economical feasibility to turn off-grid an existing building

Sara Dorregaray-Oyaregui, César Martín-Gómez, Ignacio Hernando Gil, Mónica Aguado

535_BLOCK 7: PARTICIPATION, DIVERSITY AND INCLUSIVENESS

536_Paper #7.01: Citizenship as an active subject for recovery of the heritage of social housing in Modern Movement at Valencian Community, Spain

A. Sosa Espinosa, V. La Spina, P. Navarro Camallonga, J.M. Songel González

546_Paper #7.02: Design efficacy at a distance: Collaboration between remote design teams

Farhad Mortezaee, Brian Robert Sinclair

558_Paper #7.03: Access to housing. Advantages and disadvantages of cohousing

Alejandro Campillo Almajano, Alicia Llorca-Ponce

568_Paper #7.04: Learning (in/from/the) city: reconfiguration of urban space into a sustainable 'macro-school'

Alexandra Alegre, Evangelia Raikidou

579_BLOCK 8: SUSTAINABILITY AND CLIMATE CRISIS

580_Paper #8.01: An approach to the influence of climate change on the seasonal real estate market

Fernando García Martínez, Alicia Llorca Ponce, David Pérez Royo

588_Paper #8.02: The influence of acoustic standards in construction: a case study of social housing in Argentina

Vanesa Saez, Alberto Quintana-Gallardo, Beatriz Garzón, Ignacio Guillén-Guillamón

598_Paper #8.03: Architectures of Emergency. Sentinel operations for a rapidly changing environment

Estefania Mompean Botias

612_Paper #8.04: The role of vernacular architecture in the future cities

Marcel·lí Rosaleny-Gamón

624_Paper #8.05: Timber Buildings: A Sustainable Construction Alternative

Jennifer Dayan Nuñez Avila, Vicente Blanca-Giménez

636_Paper #8.06: Design for Disassembly in housing: the need to adapt LCA to Shearing Layers

Annette Davis

648_Paper #8.07: The gap between Near Zero Emissions Buildings and the Spanish building regulation

Alberto Quintana Gallardo

658_Paper #8.08: Comparative evaluation of passive conditioning strategies for the improvement of courtyard thermal performance

Eduardo Diz-Mellado, Victoria Patricia López-Cabeza, Carmen Galán-Marín, Carlos Rivera-Gómez

668_Paper #8.09: Social housing in the Dominican Republic, a study on thermal comfort

Dayana Teresa Acosta-Medina, Alberto Quintana-Gallardo, Ignacio Guillén-Guillamón

678_Paper #8.10: Construction and demolition waste management: from obligation to necessity.

New trends and some tools focused on architectural projects

Vicente López Mateu, Teresa María Pellicer

691_BLOCK 9: HEALTH AND PANDEMICS

692_Paper #9.01: Redefining the Role of Architecture during the Pandemic Crisis

Alexandra Vavouraki, Venetia Tsakalidou

707_10. CONCLUSIONS

708_On the soundness of theoretical foundations and the adaptability of practical application in architecture

Ivan Cabrera i Fausto

THE USE OF SOCIAL NETWORKS AS A TOOL FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND URBAN DESIGN

Pascal Simoens^a

^aUniversity of Mons, Belgium

How to cite

Simoens, Pascal "The use of social networks as a tool for architectural and urban design." In *Proceedings of 3rd Valencia International Biennial of Research in Architecture. Changing priorities*. Valencia. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.4995/VIBArch2022.2022.15134>

ABSTRACT

The paper focuses on the analysis of new digital media, in particular the sociometric platforms such as Facebook, Twitter..., the writing on these platforms and their impact on architectural or urban projects. In order to deconstruct these new processes of information and data made easily available to the authors of projects, we work from theories allowing to give value (meaning) to writing according to the context in which it is written and materializing in the form of powerful citizen or individual commitments. We look for the keys of analysis allowing to pass from an opinion of influence to an analysis of recurrent commitments to be the object of collective stakes. These different approaches of reading the media/medias crossed with the territory and its collective commitments allow us to develop a method of analysis of the stakes because of the advent of a new project at the scale of a district or a piece of city. Its ambition is to offer to any project author (rarely a data scientist) to appropriate an approach more within his reach while being in phase with the digital reality that transcends the traditional approach of project design.

KEYWORDS

Social networks; semantic analysis; ontology; project; city; citizen; commitment.

1. INTRODUCTION

The digital tools used for urban analysis and architectural projects can only make sense if they are situated in a broader ontology of understanding and nuances of recent theories of smart cities and their critics. An approach that can be part of the digital convergence to increase the capacity to analyze social phenomena related to new urban issues.

The concept of smart city emerges in a rather vague way around the years 1995-2005 (Leccese and Mc Cormick 1999). Several researchers have attempted to define it (Mitchell, 1995; Ergazakis Kostas, 2006) or to situate it in relation to new imperfect urban approaches (Giffinger and Haindl 2009; Jang and Suh 2010), leaving aside the interaction between the territory and its inhabitants to focus on the new technological contributions to the strategic or daily management of cities. The 2010s have seen the emergence of an increasingly critical observation of these approaches, among others by authors such as Nam and Pardo (2011) (Nam and Pardo 2011), Ben Letaifa (2015) (Ben Letaifa 2015) and more recently Ben Green (Green 2019), who all refocus the issue and the need to take into account citizens in the march of an increasingly digitized city and through issues arising from increasingly complex urban contexts in view of the urban competition raging at all scales of the cities both metropolitan and regional, in the framework

of the theoretical model of creative cities theorized by Richard Florida (2004) and Charles Landry (2012) (Florida 2004; Landry 2012a; 2012b; 2016) to name the most influential. Creative cities that rely heavily on technology to enhance social, cultural, economic and educational development.

Authors agree that the place of the citizen has evolved in the context of the development of smart cities, moving from simple consumers to engaged and more informed actors, defined as a moment of generational and technical rupture by the New Generation Internet Foundation (FING) and described in the report *The Time of Ruptures?* (D. Kaplan et al. 2012) as a polyform model, based on the observation that the emergence of socionumeric platforms and the social interactions that follow (A. M. Kaplan and Haenlein 2010) redefine the methods for analyzing the impacts of new socio-spatial expressions in the city linked to connected devices such as the smartphone. This new field of research has been particularly investigated in North America through one of the major socio-spatial movements of the last 10 years: Black Live Matters. One of the first significant studies was *Beyond the Hastag* (Deen, Mcilwain, and Clarck 2016), later complemented by numerous others, all dealing with the relationship between socio-spatial data from the Twitter platform and understanding urban issues (Huang and Wong 2016; Resch et al. 2016; Kovacs-Gyori et al. 2018). These studies confirm a sentiment initiated in the early 2010s, of the paradigm shift in social data at the time of the massification of data (Big data) from socio-digital platforms (Tuhus-Dubrow 2014).

At the same time, actors in urban sociology have commented on the impact of digital technology on individual and collective behavior, such as Bernard Steigler and his work on the Common Plain north of Paris, who has theorized extensively on the relationship between the smart city and its inhabitants, conceptualizing disruption as a misalignment

between technical, social and biophysical systems (Steigler 2016). He postulates that there is a collective intelligence well present on the ground, but that it is contained in a societal digital Pharmakon, a pharmacological term specifying that medicine is both healing elixir and poison, applied to the smart and digitized city as a factor of collective individualization destructive of the commons if one is not careful as a city actor.

It should be noted, however, that the analysis of platforms was extremely concentrated on urban and non-local projects (on the scale of architecture) and essentially on semantic platforms (#hastags) of which Twitter is at the forefront but far from being the most used in Latin countries. Our research approach attempts to overcome this lack of analysis at the scale of the architectural project and to reweave the axioms of understanding citizen actions at the very local scale of a building, an architectural object, in a territory of small urban scale: one or several neighborhoods. An analysis that should allow for a new form of simplified analysis that disregards the need for complex algorithms to achieve its objectives, as was the case for the analysis of the millions of hastags of the #BlackLivesMatter movement. An approach that should allow a certain form of reconnection between the project owner-project manager pair and the citizens, new actors and recognized assets of the intelligent city in a context of anxiety-provoking ecological crisis exacerbates the fights of an unknown future as B. Steigler defined it in his *Plaine commune* approach. At this scale of the project, the question of the place and the role of the architect in the mediation process between the climatic stakes which sometimes require to shake up the habits of a district and the need to better understand the citizens' fears while valuing the daily expertise of the inhabitants who can improve the project process by relying on the free digital tools at our disposal, is also raised.

2. THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL ASPECTS

Our approach relies on the analysis of texts based on the writings of the Facebook platform in order to discover what citizens think about new urban and architectural projects and then deconstruct the discourses on digital platforms to bring an increased understanding of the frequent phenomenon of rejection of modernity or protection needs (NIMBY: Not In My Backyard) in the Belgian French-speaking territorial framework. This analysis is based on psychogeography, which attempts to describe the uses of the city as well as the defense strategies of individuals vis-à-vis urban pressure (Debord 1958), developed in the early 1960s at the same time as the first citizen movements. It is complemented by the theory of temporal geography of Torsen Hångerstrand (1970) (Hagerstrand 1970), which demonstrated that the actions of a few individuals could have a gregarious effect on the behaviors of a larger number, regardless of their original social framework, as they are rather influenced by the interactions induced on a given territory. Guy Di Méo's approach to social geography deepened these questions through *Les territoires du quotidien* (Tizon and Di Méo 1996) trying to demonstrate the symbiotic link between a territory and its inhabitants proposing, if necessary, new forms of social cohesion to defend themselves against new aggressions. The choice of our announced research thread is finally based on the resurgence of these approaches applied in the light of the new algorithmic calculation tools and which shows a continuous bridge between the research of the second half of the 20th century and the socionumeric platforms of today where communities make common cause according to the opportunity of the subjects.

The theoretical basis allowed us to develop a method relying on the semantic value of writing (Ferraris 2006) in the context of the Facebook platform as a social science research tool

(Kosinski et al. 2015). We voluntarily wanted to reduce the analysis capacity to small local projects so that the analysis could be conducted in an iterative and analogical way, in the sense that no digital tools (API, software, algorithms) were used in the framework of this research applied to 7 projects located in the region of the cities of Mons, La Louvière and Charleroi. The objective being to be able to transmit and diffuse the analysis tool for the whole of the project owners and project managers.

2.1. Ontology of digital writing (Meinong and Ferraris)

The harvesting of behavioral data in public space is now recognized as potentially related to the development of the use in public space of the smartphone and platforms (Fischer 2010; Bingham-Hall 2017). The Italian ethnologist M. Ferraris, strongly influenced by the work of J. Derrida and his grammatology (1967) (Derrida 1967), attempted to define a new relationship to the smartphone in his book *T'es où?* (2006), complemented by the study of soul and iPad (Ferraris 2014) where he addresses the question of the written word and the trace as a condition of thought by establishing a relationship with the essence of the technique. He concludes that we are spiritual automatons, but free. He considers that the definition of a cell phone is too restrictive as an extension of the wired phone or embedded multimedia support, in front of this machine that can express relationships between humans. He defines a mobile *eidos*, that is to say that every phone is a writing machine, illustrating the principle of transcription of memory through the machine and in documentary form. In his thesis on digital behaviors and writing, M. Ferraris develops the concept of social object linked to the uses of machines. He develops the idea that social objects need a support in the sense of the capacity to be shared and that we define here as the Facebook platform. From this ontological approach, new notions of

the intimacy of subjects having the possibility to accumulate, save and idealize arise. By analogy with the past, it refers to the papers in the wallet and corresponding to a reconstituted identity based on the role of writing in the social constitution of the contemporary individual. Papers replaced today by posts on FB. They do not exist as such in space, but remain as traces and thus acquire a duration in time to become ideas in their own right making the link between space and writing. The social object is thus a moment of sharing stories (in reference to the act of writing) retranscribed through the digital medium, a tool for expressing our social object (who, what, where?). By this point, we define the intentional value of the writings located on the pages and Facebook groups and more particularly within the framework of the publications which mark out more and more the procedures of authorization of the architectural projects as soon as this one does not fall within the framework of acceptability of the local inhabitants.

2.2. Dissociate the individual from the collective, actors in the writing of the project

The individual is a social object that appeared studied from the 17th century, at the threshold of the modern era of the machine made autonomous to man. Authors like Guy Debord (1958), Zygmunt Bauman (2005), or Guy Di M  o (2009) (Di M  o 2009) speak of the societal impact between the development of the reinforcement of the individual behavior the more the machine puts itself at his service. The complexity of the behaviors of the one can only be compared with the emancipation of the other, pushed to its paroxysm today by the arrival of the smartphone. More recently, the term individuation was developed by Gilbert Simondon (Simondon 1964; 1989), today taken up again through the questions related to the socionumeric use (Pinheiro Neves 2011) and finally translated by Bernard Steigler through the concept of Pharmakon. For Simondon, it is necessary to operate a reversal in the research

of the principle of individuation, considering as primordial the operation from which the individual comes to exist and reflects the unfolding, the regime, and finally the modalities of its characters. He defines this phenomenon by the transduction. The specificity of this individuation, characterized as a process of concretization, holds that the machine, industrial technical object is an object which functions: the individual technical object is not such or such thing given *hic et nunc*, but what there is genesis (...) the genesis of the technical object is part of its being (Simondon, 1964). Like the blacksmith's hammer, it is an action tool for doing and acting, thus defining an intentional action integrating much more values than the simple "barroom" discussions often attributed to the actions carried out by collectives on sociometric platforms. This bias of appreciation has been analyzed by B. Steigler through the prism of the concept of Pharmakon defined as the poison of the writing of the project (in the sense of the history of the construction of the building) carried by the project owner and his architect. The philosopher-linguist defends on the contrary that the technological Pharmakon is not an "or" that is inevitably doomed to a death struggle applied to the decried architectural projects, but rather an "and" linking the technophile (represented here by the architectural modernity) and the technophobe (represented by the local residents allergic to the change of their environment)

2.3. Time as a framework for the analysis of the dissociation of the I and the we

In order to dissociate the collective action that can find a certain legitimacy through the socionumeric uses and that of an individual action situated at the same level as the collective through the functioning of the platforms without moderation, the semantic analysis of the Facebook pages and groups of the projects led us to validate the approach of B. Steigler who dissociates the collective we from

the I of individuation through its expression in the temporal flow (Stiegler 2005). An approach facilitated thanks to one of the intrinsic characteristics of these platforms which offer the advantage of being the new safe of the small papers of Z. Bauman's portfolio that the platform keeps automatically. Bauman's portfolio that the platform automatically keeps. Time is an essential and constitutive notion of platforms since everything is recorded, stored and archived there. (Wieviorka 2013) specifies this new paradigm of permanent change by analogy to the book: the reader becomes an author and speaks of the notions of reinscriptive and reticular through a compiling and interrelation approach between the different information that interacts for a given place.

This is the case for the concepts stated in the examples we have analyzed, changing over time to become polyform through the influence of post authors. The concept of re-registration is expressed like an onion that is worked in layers (the re-registration of different readers). The reticularity of re-registrations is even more intertwined thanks to sociometric platforms. The authors conclude that creation becomes protean, co-authored and constantly evolving (Pierrot and Sarazana 2010). However, this reticularity can also be biased by the particular influence of one or more particularly committed and active authors who need to be dissociated from the us. This does not mean that they are excluded from the group, but we need to be able to define the semantic interactions between the different actors and their weight. All of these interactions are symbolized by a timeline of the project, the time flow, which allows us to sort the various interactions of all the citizens according to the themes discussed for or against the project. The longer the time, the more refined the analysis of the evolution of the layers that express intentions, ideas and concepts becomes and the more we can characterize the game of the actors expressing themselves on the platform through the various forms of writing.

2.4. The place of expertise in the joint writing of the project

Today's world has become increasingly complex to analyze, complemented by the ability of the internet to offer knowledge available to all. Through the analysis of projects through the expression of actors on platforms, we have observed the use of elaborate and complex concepts by self-information, themselves made available to actors and citizens by other experts without them necessarily mastering the complexity of the topics addressed. For example, in the context of the project that will be analyzed in this article, the collection of information by certain actors who express themselves regularly does not always make sense. However, you have to be an expert to see this. The example of many posts on the defense of a renovation rather than a complete reconstruction of the site concerned is based on the valuation of the reduction of the carbon impact of a renovation rather than a demolition/reconstruction. Our field experience on these subjects shows that this type of principle is theoretically clear, the reality is always more nuanced depending on the contextual reality of the building concerned. Without a real expertise of the said concepts, the dialogue between the actors of the project becomes loose.

The new context of writing the project through platforms thus raises the relevance of the question of the place of the architect or author of the project who rarely contributes to the citizen dialogue through the digital commons. To this end, we set an analogy from a writing of Kant explaining the link of work that there can be between writing and project:

a book is the instrument of the dissemination of a discourse to the public, not simply thoughts (...) Herein lies the essential, namely that it is not a thing that is disseminated thereby, but (...) precisely a discourse, and in its very letter (...) the author and the owner of the copy can each say with the same right

of the same book: this is my book! but in different senses. The first takes the book as writing or discourse; the second simply as a mute instrument of the diffusion of discourse to him or to the public, that is, as a copy (Kant, 1995).

The citizens need to appropriate the book-project, to understand and accept it. If the book is a work written by an author, each one makes what he wants of the book with which he lives. Today, the actors of the sociometric platforms arrogate to themselves the right to write a part of the book without being in dialogue with the author and therefore the work because the complexity of the work is not demonstrated and therefore cannot inspire respect for the dialogue. These actions are therefore not to be taken as a direct attack on the author's talent, but rather as a way of appropriating the book even before it is published, which can obviously create situations of conflict. Our analysis tries to demonstrate hereafter with the selected example that the different writings are not always contradictory to the project and that a new form of dialogue between the actors can

be opened thanks to the semantic analysis of the platforms by deconstructing the systematic approach of the conflicts whose outcome is not always in favor of the author and his owner.

3. APPLICATION: BELFUIS MONS PROJECT, BELGIUM

3.1. Setting the context

The Belfius Jean d'Avesnes project is an ambitious demolition and reconstruction project of the regional headquarters of the Belfius bank located in Mons (Belgium), a city with an important heritage character like many Belgian cities. The proposed project is in line with the restructuring logic of banks that are drastically reducing the number of physical branches. The regional head office is to become the main branch for an agglomeration of more than 250,000 inhabitants. The public authorities imposed a broader reflection with the imposition of a mixed project as well as the permeability of public spaces overlooking a military bakery



Figure 1: Proposal for the mixed building (housing, offices and kindergarten) of the regional headquarters of the bank Belfius, Avenue Jean d'Avesnes. Mons, image arch. NOHO, Brussels, 2019

from the Dutch fortified period and located at the rear of the site studied. Initially, the project (arch. NOHO architecture and design, Brussels) foresaw the transformation of the existing building and its extension in alignment with the square and the boulevards located at the historical intramural boundary of the city and then transformed into a brand-new project. The project includes 93 housing units, 1,500 m² of offices, a bank branch for a total of 12,000m² with a public park at the back and a day care center. On paper, this project is virtuous in proposing urban functions, common areas and a strong mix while increasing the density in the city center with very energy efficient housing. The current project was submitted to the city's urban planning department in mid-2020, including an impact study for the construction of the underground parking garage. The public hearing was held in the fall of 2020. As the project was modified during the investigation, a second investigation was conducted in the spring of 2021. At the same time, various negotiations took place between the local parties and the bank, without success. Finally, and after sustained lobbying by the residents, the new project, amended at the margin, was rejected by the municipality. To date, the project has been relaunched with some modifications and should be approved but subject to appeal by the same residents.

3.2. Analysis of citizen actions on digital platforms (analysis in July 2021)

When one invites a search #Belfius, #Mons on FB, one gets in first line the group negative impacts of the Belfius project on the Messines district in Mons (531 members). The second group is the basketball club Belfius Mons-Hainaut (official) (10.453 members on the page). The third page is NO to the Belfius - Avesnes project (232 Likes). The next three groups are fan groups of the basketball club. In 10th place, the position of Muriel Istace, a

resident of the project, one of the Community Managers of the pages and strongly impacted by the project (Télé MB 2021). Note that in this case, it is the publications and not the Pages that are listed. The creation process of the page (December 3, 2020) prevails over that of the group (December 26, 2020). However, the group will very quickly supplant the page which will have no more activity from February 25, 2021. The number of posts will be affected by this, respectively (measured until July 27, 2021):

- 21 posts for the page
- 249 posts for the group

The distribution of group posts is fairly constant with a fairly similar average of publications/month from month to month with a continuous growth and a total of 270 publications for only 8 months representing a high activity. In addition, there is a fairly high reader responsiveness with an average of 8.86 responses or interventions for 531 group members (July 27, 2021). The tools can be divided into two categories depending on whether it is the page or the community:

- Page: an "official" advocacy tool
- Group: a tool for building arguments... to enhance the advocacy arguments developed on the Page

It should be noted that our analysis took place just after the pandemic crisis and the containment (between March 17 and May 3, 2020). A paradigm shift that influenced the discussions (cf. Semantic analysis), seeing the emergence of new urban expectations of the residents, going beyond the strict framework of the project.

In terms of influencers, we can highlight two actresses in particular. The first is a local resident of the project who has a view of the bank site, which is currently empty, but whose context would change radically if the project were to be built. Her commitment

is commensurate with her personal stake: one of her children is autistic and has a view of the site concerned from his room. A second actress, who is a resident of the area but not immediately concerned, is more characterized by the NIMBY phenomenon. These two actors demonstrated a rather exemplary strength of argumentation with the search for articles on the internet aiming to support their argument beyond a strictly local issue to make it more global by seeking to educate themselves on the ideas that they then wanted to share with the community and to become a support for the elaboration of the speech against the project.

3.3. Semantic analysis

As the posts progress, we notice the emergence of three recurring themes, we summarize them as follows:

- «Nature in the city to heal us» in a very mineral medieval city. This argument is also used to decry the Belfius project which proposes a park project above a parking lot, limiting the large plantations on the periphery of the parking lot and the felling of the existing 7 trees. This point is the subject of recurrent publications throughout the Timeline of the group and is particularly supported by publications of peripheral articles and positive images of the post-COVID plant life.
- In addition to the sustainable and sanitary issue, the subject of decreasing urban densification is also a recurrent topic for residents and sympathizers in opposition to current urban strategies, especially in a country where demographic growth is continuous with a perspective of 9.2% increase in the number of inhabitants in Belgium by 2050 (Duyck et al. 2022; STATBEL 2022). It allows to argue and justify the reduction of gauges of the Belfius project. The posted articles are always related to the issue of nature in

the city, density not being compatible with emptiness and therefore green spaces.

- Recycling is recurrent with the sharing of many external publications. This idea allows to emphasize the argument of maintaining the existing building and renovate it. A subject consolidated in the intentions of local residents by the nomination of architects Lacaton and Vassal for the Pritzker 2021, architects who have particularly developed skills and projects in this area.

Our analysis develops around the semantics structured around objects. The Meinong object diagram used by M. Ferraris to develop his semantic analysis. It must be dissociated according to the characteristics of the media (Page/group). We propose here an analysis of the group:

- Physical object (real): The Belfius project
 - Ideal object (fantasy): to remain as it is (volumes), renovated.
- Social object (collective intention): Protect the image of the city, protect against changes, NIMBY phenomenon.

3.4. Qualitative analysis

For the purposes of this article, and for reasons of format, we have discarded the explanation of the elements of semantic analysis through a timeline of the group intersecting the discourses and their evolutions through the publications, we have concentrated on Meinong's taxonomy produced by M. Ferraris, combined with the strength given to the meaning of words over a given time and allowing for the structured analysis of the ideas developed by the actors and the approval of these by their readers.

From this method, developed in the form of diagrams presented in Figure 1, we note that the object and the objective intersect through arguments leading the actors of the page/group to make the urban situation fixed. However, the taxonomic method allows us to

extract broader questions that require a real response from the public authorities. It is on this part that we note that the institutional actors (the services of the Region) as well as the architects or the project owner have been silent in all languages, while in parallel, a real professionalism of communication

on social networks has been developed by the opponents. Their discourse has become so unifying for a particular case that it has influenced the municipal decision with a first refusal of a project that is nevertheless strategic for the region (location of jobs, new housing, services, etc.).




Figure 2: Analysis diagram according to Meinong's taxonomy applied by M. Ferraris in the context of the semantics of Facebook pages and groups, Belfuis Mons Project

The analysis of the objects, but also of the readers' reactions, reveals a significant lack of knowledge of current urban problems that could have been developed by the authors of the projects by clarifying the project process in all its complexity and thus better channeling paradoxical ideas such as reducing density in the city, but also in its periphery in the face of the demographic rise. An idea that confronts the question of housing and the necessary densification of cities in Belgium to allow everyone to enjoy a home. This is what the project is all about. The semantic analysis has shown this contradiction which would have required pedagogy to qualify the remarks of the self-proclaimed experts.

The second semantic analysis is based on the recycling of buildings rather than new construction. While this approach is virtuous, it remains a technically complex subject requiring a case-by-case analysis. The bank's original building was designed in the 1970s, a period when the quality of construction in Belgium had deteriorated considerably during an inflationary period and, from experience, was complex to transform. A complexity that would have deserved information beyond the virtuous concepts stated by the influencers. We note a concordance of the subjects developed by the detractors of the project and of speeches voluntarily destructive of the Belfius project. We could, once again, demonstrate the will to influence the administrators of the Page/Group using common interests for particular interests. There is probably some of this in the approach, but it would be reductive for a Group that has federated more than 450 people and above all, has allowed the development of a dialogue on current topics of development of architecture and the city of tomorrow, and whose discussions within this group show a certain form of expectations but also of urbanistic candor. There are also many shares (18,6% of shares of the posts, which means a continuous engagement of the readers). This is rare enough to be

reported, showing real concerns related to the advocacy axes promoted by the administrators.

3.5. Time for information and expertise

The analysis of this project, succinctly summarized in these few pages, shows that this group, which originated from a local project, but which radiated through the more general questions it posed on the development of the city, should have been accompanied by targeted information that objectively answered the questions posed. However, in this quadrilateral between the institutional authorities, the project owner, the citizens and the project manager, the question arises of a trusted third party capable of assuming this informational status with sufficient credibility? The public authorities and the citizens were in conflict of interest or influence. The project owner did not have the necessary credibility as an applicant. Only the project author has control over the technical topics discussed by the group members and its transcription into the project. However, our research work on other projects has shown that this competence is built over a long period of time, from the beginning of the project until the acceptance of the work (Simoens 2021). A time that justifies even more the role of the project author in this process which must inform to better dialogue and thus respond to the future challenges of the city.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The use of digital platform tools that influencers and self-taught people have managed to use thanks to an original approach of referencing external articles to develop their arguments and supported by their community, is more and more frequent. A finding that supports the fact that the authors of these platforms are no longer innocent and

know how to skillfully develop communication strategies with the tools at their disposal. M. Ferraris shows us the strength of the writing from the digital world and E. Kant before him had already raised the question of the co-production of the book and its work. However, in order to avoid chaos, these parallel writings must be aggregated through a conductor that we designate today as the project author. Of course, his approach is also biased by his position, but it is not a question here of a scientific project, but of an adventure. Other experiments that we have conducted have demonstrated the relevance of the project author taking charge of the platforms' information, as long as the approach is activated at the genesis of the project and with a few rules linked to the functioning of the platforms themselves. On this basis, a new brick in the co-production of the project can be envisaged for the benefit of the parties, but perhaps also to reduce certain risks, such as the unilateral refusal of the project. Our method is in its infancy and invites architects, urban planners or landscape designers to test it to improve it, it is versatile enough to adapt to many contexts.